

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/689,991	09/689,991 10/13/2000		Bijan Farhang	Farhang 3-2/LUC-295	1021	
32205	7590	01/28/2004		EXAMINER		
PATTI & E	BRILL		FERGUSON, KEITH			
ONE NORTH LASALLE STREET 44TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CHICAGO, IL 60602			2683		
				DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004	, <i>10</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/689,991	FARHANG ET AL.					
· ·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Keith T. Ferguson	2683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	.					
10. Other:							



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Regarding claim 1, newly proposed claim limitations, such as "assigning permanent first and second numbers to a mobile station"; and the remainer newly proposed claim language of claim 1 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600